CULTURAL DIFFERENCES IN PREFERENCES AND PERCEPTIONS OF CONFLICT MANAGEMENT STYLES: THE STUDY OF THAIS AND AMERICANS IN A MULTINATIONAL ORGANIZATION IN THAILAND

SUBMITTED TO DR.WASITA BOONSATHORN

BY

SUTHATTA HANWISAI ID 4520821037

DARUWAN LEKACHINABUTRA ID 4520821051

A RESEARCH PAPER FOR LA 890 SUBMITTED IN

PARTIAL FULLFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF ARTS (LANGUAGE AND COMMUNICATION)

SCHOOL OF LANGUAGE AND COMMUNICATION

NATIONAL INSTUTUTE OF DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

2004

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to examine cultural differences in the preferences for conflict management styles as well as the perceptions of competence of Rahim's (1983) five styles of conflict management in multicultural organizations in response to the growing need for an understanding organizational conflict in a diverse cultural context based on Ting-Toomey's (1988) face-negotiation theory framework. There were 35 Thais and 35 Americans participated in this study. Participants were asked to complete 7 pages of closed-ended questions on a survey. The data collected were analyzed using descriptive statistics by using frequency distributions, percentages, means, and standard deviations. One-way ANOVAs was conducted to determine whether significant differences exist in the preferences and perceptions of effectiveness and appropriateness towards the styles of handling conflict among all participants relative to their cultures.

This study yielded a number of conclusions about cultural differences on the preferences and attitudes toward conflict management styles. With regard to culture and conflict handling preferences, Thais reported using avoiding more than Americans, whereas Americans reported endorsing dominating more than did Thais. When comparing culture and perceptions of competence, the results also largely confirmed the assumption that avoiding and obliging were perceived by Thais as more effective and appropriate styles in handling conflict than did Americans. Similarly, Americans perceived integrating and dominating as more effective and appropriate styles of handling conflict than did Thais.

The Thai and the American respondents did not differ significantly in their mean scores for compromising. However, Thais perceived compromising as a more effective conflict management style than Americans did, but both Thais and Americans did not differ significantly in their perception of appropriateness of this style. Recommendations for further investigation in the field of intercultural conflict research to get clearer picture of intercultural conflict management were also provided.

Abstract

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figure	vi				
List of Tables	vii				
Acknowledgements					
Chapter I Introduction	1				
Purpose of the Study	6				
Research Questions	6				
Hypotheses					
Definition of Terms	8				
Chapter II Review of Scholarly Literature	10				
Conflict	10				
Conflict and Organization	11				
Model of Styles of Handling Interpersonal Conflict	13				
Culture	16				
Ting-Toomey's Face-Negotiation Theory	20				
Communication Competence	23				
Thai Preferences and Perceptions Toward Conflict Management Styles	25				
American Preferences and Perceptions Toward Conflict Management Styles	30				
Chapter III Methodology	33				
Participants and Instrumentation	33				
Participants	33				
Instrumentation	35				
Reliability	38				

Validity		38
Research Design		
Variables		39
Pilot Study		40
Procedure		
Data Analysis		41
Chapter IV Results	***************************************	45
Cronbach's Alpha for Reliability		45
Demographic Information		45
Individualism-Collectivism Orientation	••••••	45
Preference for Styles of Conflict Management by Culture		46
Perceived Effectiveness and Appropriateness of Styles of	Conflict	
Management by Culture		47
Perceived Effectiveness (CES)	******************************	47
Perceived Appropriateness (CAS)	***************************************	49
Chapter V Discussion		51
Conclusion and Discussion		51
Individualistic and Collectivistic Assumptions		51
Conflict Management Styles	•••••	52
Perceptions of Competence Toward Conflict Mana	gement Styles	55
Limitations and Recommendations for Future Study		59
References	***************************************	63
Appendix A Sample of Questionnaire, English Version	g-1	74
Appendix B Sample of Questionnaire, Thai Version	q-2	83

T	TZL	OF	FIGU	RE
	/ E L 7 E	T)I	I'IUL	

Figure 2.1: The Dual Concern Model of Styles of Handling Int	terpersonal Conflict14
--	------------------------

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Individualistic and Collectivistic Culture	20
Table 3.1: Descriptive Statistics for Age and Time Spent Working in the Organization of Research Participants	34
Table 3.2: Explanation of Pre-Defined Items for Conflict Management Styles	36
Table 4.1: Univariate Analysis of Variance for Individualism-Collectivism Orientation	44
Table 4.2: Analysis of Variance for Preference for Styles of Conflict Management by Culture	44
Table 4.3: Analysis of Variance for Preference for Perception of Effectiveness of Styles of Conflict Management by Culture	46
Table 4.4 Analysis of Variance for Preference for Perception of Appropriateness of Styles of Conflict Management by Culture	47

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Our sincerest gratitude goes to Dr. Wasita Boonsatorn of the School of National Institute of Development Administration (NIDA) for her unwavering intellectual support and friendship throughout this research project. It is her, who helped make our work on this research paper challenging, rewarding and a great deal of fun.

We would like to further our gratefulness to all of our instructors in School of Language and Communication, NIDA for their endurable educating effort through our academic year. Their intelligence and untiring devotion are our academic inspiration.

Gratitude is also owed to William John Burnip of Mahanakorn University of Technology for his invaluable help for thoroughly reviewing and editing the content within a finite time frame. His editing skills and attentive revision help improving the quality of our work.

To all participants in the study, thanks for your time and thoughtful participation. Your kind cooperation and openness enhance this research and enlighten our knowledge throughout the course of the study.

Thanks to our friends who have contributed to this research project in many ways, especially through their accompanies, continuous supports, and friendships. We would not have such a wonderful memorable Master degree's experiences without them.

Lastly, our most heartfelt thanks go to members of our family for their warm support and endlessly encouragement through our academic years. Without their love, patience and tremendous support, we would never accomplish this task. With all gratitude and deepest appreciation, we wish to dedicate this accomplishment to our family since, for us, they are the wind beneath our wings.